

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

Amk

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 888 OF 2016
WITH
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 889 OF 2016

Maimuna Farid Raja

...Petitioner

Versus

The Chief Officer, Mumbai Building
Repairs & Construction & Ors.

...Respondents

.....

Mr. Rajiv Narula i/b Vaibhav Mehta & Associates for the Petitioner.
Mr. V. P. Sawant for Respondent Nos.1 & 2/MHADA.
Ms. Kavita Shah for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : S. C. GUPTE, J.
DATE : 12th APRIL, 2016.

P. C. :

1. The dispute in the petitions essentially concerns the petitioner (occupant) and respondent No.3 (developer). The petitioner and respondent No.3 have agreed to Consent Minutes of Order by which the present petition can be disposed of. The Consent Minutes of Order have been duly signed by their respective Advocates. The Advocate of respondent Nos.1 & 2 has signed the Minutes for the purpose of identification. Learned Counsel pray for disposal of the petition in terms of the Minutes. Consent Minutes of Order are taken on record, marked 'X' for identification. The

statements and undertakings contain therein are accepted.

2. Both petitions are disposed of in terms of the Consent Minutes of Order. No order as to costs.

3. This order is passed in the presence of both the petitioner as well as the Director of respondent No.3, Mr. Humayun Shaikh.

4. Time granted to the petitioner to amend the petition in terms of order dated 06.04.2016 is extended upto 18.04.2016.

(S. C. GUPTE, J.)