

Vat

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION***

WRIT PETITION No. 1019 OF 2015

Union of India and ors.

....Petitioners

Vs.

Smt. Kirti B. Oza

....Respondent

None for the Petitioners

Mr. Ravindranath D. Shetty for Respondent

***CORAM : V. M. KANADE &
M.S. SONAK, JJ.***

DATE : AUGUST 8, 2016

P.C. :

1. None appears on behalf of the Petitioners. Heard Shri Shetty, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent.

2. We are informed that one Subhash Chanda was appointed as Dafatary in Delhi Office of the Custodian and a similar order, which is impugned in this petition, was passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Delhi and that order has been implemented. However, the Petitioners have challenged the order

passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Bombay Bench, Mumbai in favour of the Respondent.

3. It appears that the Petitioners are not keen in pursuing this petition. Hence, writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

M.S. SONAK, J.

V.M. KANADE, J.

Vaishali Tikam