

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISIDCTION****CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 641 OF 2016****IN****EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.252 OF 2007****IN****SUMMARY SUIT NO 233 OF 2002**

IDL Industries Limited

....Plaintiff

versus

K.L.Wadhi and Co. Pvt.Ltd. & Anr.

....Defendants

.....

Ms.Anita Castellino i/b. M/s.Pandya & Co. for plaintiff.

Mr.P.Ranjan i/b. M/s.Halai & Co. for defendant no.2

.....

CORAM : K. R. SHRIRAM, J.**DATE : 22nd st April, 2016.****P.C.:**

In the order dated 4th April, 2016, by consent of the parties the following correction be noted. In line 1 “Counsel for defendant no.2” to be corrected and read as “Counsel for defendants”.

2. In the order dated 4th April, 2016 in the appearance column to be corrected to read “Mr. Ajit Shinde i/b. Halai & Co. for defendant no.2”

3. On 4th April, 2016 the Counsel for defendant no.2 has stated that he will file reply within one week and the matter stood over to 20th April, 2016. One week expired on 11th April, 2016 and even today the Counsel is seeking further time to file a reply.

4. I have considered affidavit in support of the Chamber Summons and in my view it is a fit case to allow Chamber Summons in terms of prayer clause (a) and (b). Chamber Summons therefore allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) and (b) and is accordingly disposed.

5. It is open to the parties to raise all contentions before the Commissioner

(K. R. SHRIRAM, J.)