

Atul

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION
MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO. 78 OF 2015
IN
MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO. 99 OF 2011**

Sandhya Deepak Patil	...Petitioner
<i>Versus</i>	
Mrs. Deepali Kamlesh Khothari & Anr.	...Respondents

Mr. V.K. Rajbhar, *for the Petitioner.*
Ms. Kanchan Pamnani, *for the Respondents.*

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 20th April 2016

PC:-

1. The parties have settled their disputes. Consent Terms are drawn up. These are signed by the Petitioner and both Respondents, all of whom are present in Court and who are identified by their respective Advocates.

2. The terms of the settlement are not only fair and reasonable, but they have been subject of considerable discussion in Court on previous occasions as well. The Consent Terms correctly reflect the understanding previously arrived at in Court. I am satisfied that the parties have signed these Consent Terms on their own volition and

that they reflect their consent freely given. The Consent Terms are not contrary to law.

3. The Consent Terms are taken on record and marked “X” for identification. The undertakings in the Consent Terms are accepted as undertakings to the Court.

4. Miscellaneous Petition No. 78 of 2015 is disposed of in these terms with no order as to costs.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)