

JSN

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION (L) NO.865 OF 2016
IN
SUIT (L) NO.228 OF 2016

Mahindra & Mahindra Limited ...Plaintiff
Versus
G. Mahindra ... Defendant

Mr. Raj Rao, i/b Banatwala Plaintiff.

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 1st July 2016

PC:-

1. Pursuant to the order dated 6th May 2016, the Plaintiff has now effected substituted service on the Defendant. The necessary notices have been published in English, Telugu, Tamil and Kannada in seven different newspapers in various territories in South India. This is sufficient. No Plaintiff can be expected to do more.
2. The Defendant is absent though served. There was an ad-interim order dated 16th March 2016.
3. Now that service is effected, and since I have separately granted leave under Clause XIV of the Letters Patent today, the

Notice of Motion can be taken up for final hearing and for further reliefs in addition to those granted earlier.

4. The previous order of 16th March 2016 granted relief in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (c). That order will continue as the final order in the Notice of Motion. In addition, there will also be an order in terms of prayer clause (b).

5. The Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clauses (a), (b) and (c). No costs.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)