

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.184 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION LOD.NO.2164 OF 2014

Bapu Keshav Gurav

.. Petitioner

-Versus-

Mumbai Housing and Area Development
Authority and Ors.

..Respondents

Mr. Siddhesh A. Pilankar for applicant/ petitioner

Ms. Gauri Deshpande i/b. Mrs. Neha Bhide for respondents.

CORAM : ANOOP V. MOHTA &
G.S.KULKARNI, JJ.
DATE : 13th July 2016.

P.C.

1] Petitioner's petition where the claim is of retirement benefits was dismissed for want of prosecution. Therefore, the notice of motion for condonation of delay of 437 days. After hearing Counsel for the parties and considering the affidavits/ averments so made, as great injustice would be caused to the petitioner if the petition is not restored which is dismissed for non removal of office objections, we are inclined to allow this motion in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b). No costs.

(G.S.KULKARNI, J)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J)