

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO. 11 OF 2004

M/s. Ajanta Synthetics and Anr.	} }	Appellants
versus		
Commissioner, Central Excise, Mumbai-III	} }	Respondents

None for the appellants.

Mr. Swapnil Bangur with Mr. Sham Walve
for the respondents.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
B. P. COLABAWALLA, JJ.**

DATED :- OCTOBER 10, 2016

P.C. :-

1. This appeal was dismissed for want of prosecution on 12th November, 2009. It was restored on 29th June, 2010 by an order of a Division Bench of this court in Notice of Motion No. 1520 of 2010.

2. Thereafter, it has appeared under caption “for hearing and final disposal”. We listed all such matter for “direction” with a view to ascertain from the parties as to whether after long lapse of time any of them is interested in pursuing the cause or the questions of law, on which the appeals are admitted, are, by passage of time, covered by either a judgment of this court or

judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India or any statutory amendment brought later on. The appellants and the advocates were aware that this matter appeared on board on 26th September, 2016 and 3rd October, 2016. None of them appeared on those dates. Their names are correctly displayed on board. That is how it was placed for “dismissal” today. Today also none appears for them. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed for want of prosecution.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.) (S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)