

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION****PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION (L) NO. 70 OF 2016**

Sandip Bhagoji Patil	..	Petitioner
versus		
Union of India & Anr.	..	Respondents

Mr. Shardul Singh with Viral Shukla, Priti Patel i/b. Shukla & Associates for Petitioner.
None for Respondents.

**CORAM: V. M. KANADE AND
M. S. SONAK, JJ.**
DATE : 26 JULY 2016

P.C.:

1] We find that person aggrieved by the issuance of tender has not approached us by filing a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The petition has been filed as a PIL. When this fact was pointed out to the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, he seeks leave to withdraw the petition with liberty to file a petition by a person aggrieved by the tender process.

2] Allowed to be withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

(V. M. KANADE, J.)