

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.137 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION (L) NO.393 OF 2015

Laxmi Ravindra Jakkani and Others	...Applicants
vs.	
State of Maharashtra and Others	...Respondents

Mr. S.A. Rajeshirke, for the Applicants.
Ms. Jasmine Kochatia a/w. Ms. Tanvi Shah i/b. Wadia Gandhi, for
Respondent No. 3.

CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
MAKARAND KARNIK, JJ.

DATE : JUNE 27, 2016

P.C.:

Not on board. Upon mentioning taken on board.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties on Notice of Motion seeking restoration of Writ Petition (L) No. 393 of 2015 which suffers dismissal on account of non compliance office objection. Since there is delay in filing Notice of Motion, the prayer has been made to condone the delay.

3. Having considered the reasons stated in the Notice of Motion, the delay in filing the same is hereby condoned.

4. We have gone through the reasons stated for non removal of the office objections within time. The mistake appears *bonafide* on the part of a clerk of the advocate. In the circumstances, accepting the reasons stated, the Notice of Motion is allowed and accordingly disposed of.

5. Writ Petition (L) No. 393 of 2015 is ordered to be restored accordingly to its original number. Office objection is to be removed within four weeks.

(M.S. KARNIK, J.)

(S.S. KEMKAR, J.)