

pmw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.1915 OF 2001

A.K. Singh & Ors.	... Petitioners
Versus	
State of Maharashtra and Ors.	... Respondents

**WITH
CHAMBERS SUMMONS IN
WRIT PETITION NO.214 OF 2004
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2399 OF 2003**

Sukhmindar Singh Hundal	... Petitioner
Vs.	
State of Maharashtra & Ors.	... Respondents

None for the Petitioners.

Mr. F. Devetre, Senior Counsel a/w Ms. Samidha Vedpathak i/by
Maneksha & Sethna for the Respondent Nos.4 and 5.

Mr. P.N. Modi, Senior Counsel a/w Mr. Rohan Kadam i/by K.D.
Abichandani for the Respondent No.6.

Mr. M. Khan i/by Mulla & Mulla for the Respondent No.7.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
A.A. SAYED, JJ.**

DATE : 14th OCTOBER, 2016

PC.

1 None appeared for the Petitioners when the Petition was
called out yesterday. Even today none appears for the Petitioners. The

learned Senior Counsel representing the Respondent Nos.5 and 6 state that the entire Scheme governed by the order dated 30th January, 1989 (Exhibit – M to the Petition) passed in exercise of powers under Sub-Section (1) of Section 20 of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 has been implemented. The learned Senior Counsel further states that the tenements which are required to be handed over to the State Government have been handed over and the requisite amounts have been paid.

2 We accept the said statements. By accepting the said statement, the Petition is disposed of. Rule is discharged. We make it clear that in the event the Petitioners apply for restoration, they will have to satisfy the Court that the cause of action still survives.

(A.A. SAYED, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)