

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 934 OF 2014
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 113 OF 2014

Smt. S. G. P. Barnes } Petitioner
 } versus
Union of India and Ors. } Respondents

Ms. S. G. P. Barnes – Petitioner in person.

Ms. Neeta Masurkar with Mr. V. S.
Masurkar and Mr. A. R. Varma for
respondent nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 5A, 6, 6A and
9C.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
 B. P. COLABAWALLA, JJ.

DATED :- NOVEMBER 18, 2016

P.C. :-

1. The petitioner appearing in person admits that this is a service matter within the meaning of section 3(q) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 and though it concerns the Maharashtra Telephone Nigam Limited and Department of Post and Telegraphs, it is open for her to approach the Central Administrative Tribunal. Once that is conceded and the tribunal is exclusively set up to take such matters, then, we are not inclined to entertain this writ petition. The petitioner has equally efficacious remedy of approaching the Central Administrative

Tribunal. The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed on the ground of alternate remedy.

2. In the light of the dismissal of the writ petition, the notice of motion does not survive and stands disposed of as such.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.) (S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)