

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 655 OF 2014
IN
SUIT NO. 1440 OF 2008**

Shri Indraprakash Murarka .. Applicant/Org.Deft.No.1

In the matter between :

Pavankumar Sanwormal & Ors. .. Plaintiffs

Vs.

Shri Indraprakash Murarka & Anr. .. Defendants

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 119 OF 2010
IN
SUIT NO. 1440 OF 2008**

Pavankumar Sanwormal & Ors. .. Plaintiffs

Vs.

Shri Indraprakash Murarka & Anr. .. Defendants

**WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 141 OF 2014
IN
SUIT NO. 1440 OF 2008**

Pavankumar Sanwormal & Ors. .. Plaintiffs

Vs.

Shri Indraprakash Murarka & Anr. .. Defendants

Mr.Chetan Kapadia i/b M & M Legal Ventures for plaintiff.

Mr.Dipesh U. Siroya for defendant no.1/applicant.

Mr.N.R. Gandhi for defendant no.2.

**CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.
DATE : 27TH APRIL, 2016**

P.C.

1 At the outset, the counsel for the applicant/defendant no.1 seeks leave to amend the chamber summons.

Leave granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.

2 Considering the amendments proposed, Shri Kapadia counsel for the applicant states that the relief sought in the chamber summons could be granted.

3 The chamber summons is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) and is accordingly disposed.

Amendment to be carried out and amended written statement to be served upon the plaintiff within four weeks from today.

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 141 OF 2014

4 The counsel for the applicant in Notice of Motion states that hearing of this notice of motion can also be deferred to hearing of the suit.

Accordingly, notice of motion is adjourned to the suit.

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)