

pmw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.185 OF 2013
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.530 OF 2015**

Ramchandra Kanu Mendadkar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra and Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Chintamani K. Bhangoji for the Petitioner.

Mr. Anurag Gokhale, AGP for the Respondent No.1 – State.

Mrs. Geeta Shastri for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4 – BMC.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
C.V. BHADANG, JJ.**

DATE : 16th FEBRUARY, 2016

PC.

. Perused the affidavits filed on record. After having perused all the affidavits on record, we find that this Petition involves disputed questions of fact including the disputed questions as regards the boundaries. We are, therefore, of the view that the controversy can be more appropriately resolved by a Civil Court. Accordingly, we decline to entertain this Petition and the same is disposed of. However, it is open for the Petitioner to adopt appropriate remedy in accordance with law. Pending Notice of Motion is also disposed of.

(C.V. BHADANG, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)