

ATUL

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION
TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO. 37 OF 1986
IN
TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO. 165 OF 1986**

Sureshchandra Ohanlal Vora	...Plaintiff
<i>Versus</i>	
S.M. Vora	...Defendant

None for the Plaintiff.
Mr. Vijay Vora, Son of Mr. S.M. Vora, Defendant, in person.

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 30th November 2016

PC:-

1. On 28th November 2016 the Plaintiff and his Advocate were absent. The Defendant was present in person. He said that since 26th September 2016, when I extended time to complete the cross-examination on commission, till 18th November 2016, there was no progress in the matter. Not a single session had been held before the Commissioner. Therefore, I directed this matter to be placed today, and said that if the Plaintiff was absent or unrepresented, I would close his case and might even dismiss the Suit.

2. The matter is listed today for dismissal. The name of the Plaintiff and his Advocate are correctly shown on board and are called out. None appears.

3. The Testamentary Suit and the Testamentary Petition are dismissed for non-prosecution with costs.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)