

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10 OF 2016
IN
RPN st./35028/2015
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.241 OF 2011

Navin Yashodanandan Arora @ Dhingra ... Applicant

Vs.

High Court on its own Motion & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr.A.K. Singh i/b Cesar Cornacao De Jesus Pereira for the Applicant
Mr.Pankaj Kansara i/b Ms.Manjula Rao for Respondent No.2

CORAM: MRS.MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.

DATE: 11th JANUARY, 2016

P.C.:

1. Mr.Kansara, learned Counsel appearing for respondent No.2, Lajrani Yashodanandan Dhingra, the mother, submits that there is a delay due to change of advocate.

2. Learned Counsel for respondent No.2 opposes the application and submits that an application for speaking to the minutes of order was taken out by the earlier advocate and on the same day, he had submitted that the petitioner is going to file a review petition. However, the review petition was not filed by him but he changed the advocate to meet the delay. Hence, this is a deliberate delay.

3. Today, the advocate is changed. The review petition is between the son and the mother. For the reasons stated in the Civil Application, the delay is condoned. Civil Application is disposed of accordingly.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)