

Nalawade

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 12787 OF 2015

M/s. Elegant.

...Petitioner.

vs.

The Project Director,
District Rural Development Agency
and ors.

..Respondents.

None for the Petitioner.

Mr. N.C.Walimbe, AGP for Respondent Nos. 3 and 6.

Mr. M. Mohanty with Ruchita Romani i/by M/S.
S.K.Srivastav for Respondent No.5.

CORAM: ANOOP V. MOHTA AND
A.S.GADKARI, J.

DATE : 2ND DECEMBER, 2016

P.C.

None for the petitioner.

2. The learned counsel appearing for respondent No.5 has pointed out that the matter is pertaining to the impugned work order dated 22.12.2015 and for the events from 16.1.2016 to 28.1.2016 and therefore, ad interim relief itself was rejected. Therefore, nothing survives in the petition. In view of the above, we are inclined to dispose of the present petition as infructuous. No costs.

(A.S.GADKARI, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.)