

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3738 OF 2015

Rajaram Arjun Patil.

..Petitioner.

Versus

Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation
and Another.

..Respondents.

Mr. K. S. Patil for the Petitioner.

Mrs. M. P. Thakur, AGP for the State.

Mr. R. D. Patil i/b S. V. Marne for Respondent No. 1.

Coram : RANJIT MORE &
SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.

Date : **October 15, 2016.**

P. C. :

1. Learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner at the outset makes a statement that he is restricting this writ petition to the relief claimed in prayer clause (a). Statement accepted.

2. Having heard learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner, the learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 1 and learned AGP for the State, we deem it convenient to dispose of the writ petition by directing Respondent No.1 to look into the complaint dated 9th October 2014 made by the Petitioner, copy of which is annexed at Exhibit-C to the petition and take appropriate decision thereon expeditiously. Ordered accordingly. Said complaint of the Petitioner shall be decided on its own merits, in accordance with law

and after hearing all concerned.

3. Writ petition stands disposed of.

[SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]