

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.4129 OF 2016

SUHAS MAHADEV ROGE

)...PETITIONER

V/s.

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.)...RESPONDENTS

Mr.Niranjan Mundargi, i/b. Mr.Santosh Musale, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr.J.P.Yagnik, APP for the Respondent - State.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
A. M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 19th DECEMBER 2016.

P.C. :

1 Mr.Mundargi, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that the only grievance of the petitioner is that his parole application is not decided by the Jail Authorities. He submits that petitioner would be satisfied if the Jail Authorities are directed to decide his parole application expeditiously. Mr.Yagnik, learned APP, has no objection and submits that appropriate orders may be passed.

2 In the above circumstance, the petition can be disposed of by directing the Jail Authorities / Competent Authority to decide the petitioner's parole application, which is annexed at page 29 of the petition, as expeditiously as possible, and in any case, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

3 Ordered accordingly.

4 Petition is disposed of.

(A. M. BADAR, J.)

(RANJIT MORE, J.)