

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION NO.1 OF 2016
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.13 OF 2015**

Smt. Vidhya Chandrakant Patil

..Petitioner

Versus

**The Municipal Corporation of Gr. Mumbai
and another**

..Respondents

Mr. P J. Thorat for the Petitioner.

Ms. Rath Joyce Sham for the Respondent No.2.

CORAM : R. M. SAVANT, J.

DATE : 2nd SEPTEMBER, 2016

PC.

1 A praecipe has been filed on behalf of Respondent No.2 for speaking to the minutes of the order dated 05.08.2016. The clarification sought is of the recording made in paragraph 5 of the said order as regards the agreement in question. The said recording has been made in view of the fact that the Learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent No.2 did not dispute the said position. In view thereof, there is no necessity to issue any clarification. Hence no orders. The praecipe for speaking to the minutes of the order is accordingly disposed of.

[R.M.SAVANT, J]