

pmw

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.297 OF 2014
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.50 OF 2016
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.435 OF 2014

Mrs. Rosy Julius Nadar ... Appellant

Versus

Mr. Julius Dhanraj Nadar ... Respondent

Ms. Deeplaxmi S. Matwankar for the Appellant and for Applicant in CAM/50/2016 and CAM/435/2014 and for Respondent in FCA/7/2015, CAM/25/2015 and CAM/234/2014.

Mr. Ravindra Vishnu Sankpal for the Appellant in FCA/7/2015, for Applicant in CAM/25/2015, CAM/234/2014 and for the Respondent in FCA/297/2014, CAM/50/2016 and CAM/435/2014.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
R.D. DHANUKA, JJ.**

DATE : 6th SEPTEMBER, 2016

PC.

1 The learned counsel appearing for the Appellant wife states that the Appeal is restricted to that part of the impugned decree by which the marriage between the Appellant and the Respondent was dissolved by a decree of divorce. On instructions of the Appellant who is personally present in the Court she states that the Appellant is not

pressing the challenge to the decree of divorce. As this Appeal is confined only to the decree of divorce, the Appeal does not survive and the same is disposed of. Ad-interim relief granted in the Appeal stands vacated. Civil Application No.50 of 2016 and 435 of 2014 do not survive and the same stand disposed of.

(R.D. DHANUKA, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)