

vidya

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**WRIT PETITION NO. 4401 OF 2015
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 291 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4401 OF 2015**

Kishor Gajanan Deshmukh ... Petitioner
vs.
The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr. Sachin Chandan, Advocate appointed for the petitioner.
Mrs. A.S. Pai, APP for the respondent/State.

**CORAM: MRS. V.K. TAHILRAMANI &
MRS. MRIDULA BHATKAR, JJ.**

JULY 5, 2016.

ORAL ORDER: (Per Mrs. V.K. Tahiramani, J.)

Heard both sides.

2. Rule. By consent, rule is made returnable forthwith.
3. The prayer of the petitioner in this petition is that on account of overstay of 59 days, his remission was cut, hence he has prayed that his parole period may be extended by 60 days in which case it would not be deemed that the petitioner had overstayed his parole leave and his remission would be restored. He has also prayed to set aside the order of

the Sessions Court directing for forfeiture of remission of 236 days for his overstay.

4. Learned APP has produced the report of Superintendent of Yerwada Central Prison where the petitioner was lodged. In the said report, it is stated that the petitioner has completed his period of imprisonment and released from prison.

5. In this view of the matter, nothing survives in this petition. Hence, Rule is discharged.

6. As the Writ Petition in which Criminal Application No. 291 of 2016 has been preferred, has already been disposed of. Hence Criminal Application No. 291 of 2016 does not survive and is disposed of accordingly.

7. The fees to be paid to the appointed counsel are quantified at Rs.2,500/-.

(MRS. MRIDULA BHATKAR,J.) (MRS. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.)