

vks

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.3833 OF 2016

Ayub Jalil Khan	 Petitioner.
V/s.	
The State of Maharashtra	 Respondent

Mr. A M. Sarogi, for the Petitioner.
Mr. J. P. Yagnik, APP for the Respondent State.
Mr. Rajkumar D. Barde, Police Sub inspector, Malad Police Station,
Mumbai.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, JJ.**

DATE : 14th DECEMBER, 2016.

P.C. :

1. Heard Mr. Sarogi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Yagnik, learned APP for the State.
2. Mr. Sargoi, learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset makes a statement that he will not press the relief claimed in prayer clause (a). He also states that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the concerned Police Station is directed to look into the grievances made in the complaint dated 16.7.2016 annexed to this petition as Exhibit C.
3. Mr. Yagnik, learned APP, on instructions, makes a statement

that the allegations made in the said complaint are being looked into. He also submits that the petitioner is at liberty to give supplementary statement. Such statement will be recorded and the appropriate decision will be communicated to the petitioner thereafter. Statement is accepted.

4. In that view of the matter, the grievance of the petitioner no more survives and the petition is disposed of accordingly.

[DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR JOSHI, J.]

[RANJIT MORE,J.]