

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 11532 OF 2015

Sahasrabudhe Chandrakant and Another. ..Petitioners.

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Others. ..Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2186 OF 2015

Patil Chandrakant Uttamrao. ..Petitioners.

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Others. ..Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2644 OF 2014

Dr. Tamboli Nathu Shamji. ..Petitioners.

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Others. ..Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2729 OF 2014

Rajaram Karbhar Patil. ..Petitioner.

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Others. ..Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 6692 OF 2015

Gudsoorkar Shashikant R & Ors. ..Petitioners.

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Others. ..Respondents.

Mr. C. R. Sadashivan i/b Mr. N. M. Ganguli for the Petitioners.

Mr. P. P. Kakde, AGP for the State.

Mr. Rajendra Anbhule for Respondent No. 4 in WP 2186/15

Mr. N. V. Bandiwadekar for Respondent No. 5 and 6 in WP 2644/14, 3870/14 and 2186/15.

Coram : RANJIT MORE &
SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.

Date : **July 22, 2016.**

P. C. :

1. Heard learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner and the learned Counsel appearing for the Respondents. In these writ petitions, the Petitioners have challenged the recommendations of the University Grievance Committee and the resolution adopted by the

Management Council on 22nd January 2013 to keep said recommendations pending till the Chancellor decides on the amended statutes submitted for his assent.

2. Subsequent to the filing of these writ petitions, the Management Council has accepted the recommendations of the University Grievance Committee by passing Resolution on 4th August 2015. The Management thereafter filed various writ petitions challenging the resolution dated 4th August 2015. Challenge was mainly on the ground that the recommendations of the University Grievance Committee came to be accepted without affording an opportunity hearing to the Petitioners therein. Those writ petitions came to be disposed of by the order dated 20th July 2016. By the said order *[passed in W.P. No. 11901 of 2015 & other group matters]*, we set aside the resolution dated 4th August 2015 passed by the Management Council and remanded the matter back to the Management Council to take fresh decision after affording an opportunity of hearing to the Management as well as to the concerned private persons. The present Petitioners are covered by the said order. The present writ petitions are therefore rendered infructuous. Hence, writ petitions are dismissed as infructuous.

[SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]