

hvn

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 13122 OF 2016**

Mr. Ravindra Dundaram Vage

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr. S.A. Sawant for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Mali, AGP for respondent State.

**CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &  
PRAKASH D. NAIK, JJ.  
DATED : NOVEMBER 24, 2016.**

**P.C.**

Not on board. Taken on production board in view of the urgency.

2. Heard. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith.

2. Considering the averments made and in view of orders passed by this Court in similarly situated matters and as based upon the same, the representation was filed by petitioner on 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2016, the same is pending with respondent nos. 3 and 4 and is not yet decided, we direct respondents No. 3 and 4 to decide the representation as early as possible, preferably within six weeks from today.

3. Petitioner is at liberty to file additional representation with supporting documents along with earlier, within one week from today.

Respondents No. 3 and 4 are directed to decide the same within six weeks thereafter.

4. Petition stands disposed of in above terms with no order as to costs.

**(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)**

**(SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.)**