

mm

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 12281 OF 2016

Vinodkumar Babaso Khade & Ors. ...Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Prashant Bhavake, Advocate for the Petitioners

Mr. S.B. Kalel, AGP for the State

CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &

M.S. KARNIK, JJ.

DATED : 25TH OCTOBER, 2016

P.C. :

Matter is not on board. In view of the urgency taken up
in the production board.

2. The learned Counsel for the Petitioners undertake that
he will pay the deficit Court fees during the course of the day.

3. Having heard the learned Counsel appearing for the
Petitioners and the learned AGP for the State, we are of the
opinion that this Petition can be conveniently disposed of by
directing the Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad,

Sangli to decide the representations/proposals dated dated 6th May, 2014, 22nd January, 2014, 5th September, 2014, 5th September, 2014, 5th September, 2014 (**Exhibits – F, G, H, I & J**) filed by Respondent Nos. 4 & 5 (Exhibit- **F, G, H, I & J**) if not already decided, as expeditiously as possible, and within the period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the order.

4. Till the Petitioners' claim is decided, the Respondents State is directed to maintain the status-quo as it exists today in respect of the post occupied by the Petitioners.

5. The Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(M.S. KARNIK, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)