

Vidya Amin

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**REVIEW PETITION (St.) NO. 29158 OF 2015
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 1194 OF 2014**

Chandrakant Krishna Shetty ... Petitioner
Vs.
The Municipal Corporation of Greater
Mumbai & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Joaquim F. Reis, Sr. Advocate a/w. Nigel Quarishy, Abhijeet A. Joshi
i/b/ Dushyant Krishnan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Anil Sakhare i/b. Mr. A.V. Diwate, Advocate for respondent no.
1/Corporation.
Mr. Aspi Chinoy a/w. Vachan Bodke and Madhu Hiraskar i/b. Chitnis
Vaithy, Advocate for respondent no. 3.

CORAM: **MRS.MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.**
(In Chamber)
DATE: **3rd May, 2016.**

P.C.:

The Review Petition is filed in respect of the order passed by this
Court on 2nd December, 2014.

2. The learned senior counsel for the petitioner submitted that in
paragraph 6 of the said order, it is mentioned that the plan was
sanctioned by the respondent-Corporation on 24th August, 1994,
however, the plan is prepared by MHADA Repair Board and the date is
to be substituted as 24th August, 1999 in place of 24th August, 1994.

3. The learned senior counsel for the respondents are present.
4. After hearing the learned senior counsel for both the parties, as mentioned that the plan is not sanctioned by the respondent-Corporation on 24th August, 1994, hence it is substituted as the plan prepared by MHADA Repair Board on 24th August, 1999.
5. With this, the Review Petition stands disposed of.

(MRS.MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)