

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.345 OF 2015
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.223 OF 2013

Office Notes, Office
memorandum of Coram,
appearances, Court's
orders or directions &
Registrar's orders.

Court's or
Judge's orders.

Msz. Armin Wandrewalla alongwith Ms. Swapna Samant for the Applicant i/b M/s.Vinod Mistry and Co for the Applicant.
Ms. Ketaki Datar for the Respondent.

—

CORAM : A.S. OKA & PD.NAIK, JJ
DATED : 7TH APRIL 2016

PC.:

. Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties. As the Family Court Appeals of the years 2007-2008 are at present listed on the final hearing cause list, priority cannot be granted to the Family Court Appeal No.223 of 2013 and Family Court Appeal No.219 of 2014. Therefore, the prayer clause (a) cannot be granted. As far as the prayer clause (b) is concerned, there is an affidavit filed by the

Respondent which is dated 4th April 2016. In Paragraph 3 of the said affidavit, she has stated thus:

“3.Now she is filing this short 'Affidavit-In-Reply', as she is giving undertaking on oath that 'now onwards, she will not contact the Applicant, his office staff and his Advocate in any manner'.

2. The aforesaid statement is accepted as her undertaking. In view of this undertaking, as of today, it is not necessary to consider the prayer clause (b) on merits. Application is accordingly disposed of.

(P.D. NAIK, J)

(A.S.OKA, J)