

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****WRIT PETITION NO. 9936 OF 2013**

Shri Raosaheb Namdev Chavan

....Petitioner.

Vs.

Maharashtra State Electricity
Distribution Company Limited & Ors.

....Respondents.

Mr. N.V. Bandiwadekar for the Petitioner.
Mr. A.R.S. Baxi for Respondent Nos. 1 to 5.

**CORAM : ANOOP V. MOHTA AND
G.S. KULKARNI, JJ.
DATE : 10 JUNE 2016.**

ORDER:-

The learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner is not pressing the prayer clauses (d), (e) and (f). Leave is accordingly granted to delete the prayer clauses (d), (e) and (f). The Petitioner to carry out amendment forthwith.

2 Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties for some time. The learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner has pointed out that no reasons are given by the First Authority and also even by the Appellate Authority, though specific grounds were raised

in support of the Petitioner's claim and the contentions. In view of this itself, we may be required to interfere with the order so passed by the Appellate Authority.

3 However, the learned counsel appearing for the Respondents, on instruction, submits that the First Appellate Authority will hear the Petitioner and pass a reasoned order. The Appellate Authority shall decide the Appeal in accordance with law, de-novo and uninfluenced by the impugned orders.

4 Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

(G.S. KULKARNI, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)