

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 862 OF 2016  
IN  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 857 OF 2016

Praveenkumar Hukmichand Jain .....Applicant

V/s.

The Union of India and anr. ....Respondents

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 867 OF 2016  
IN  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 895 OF 2016

Jagdishprasad Bhalchand Purohit .....Applicant

V/s.

The Union of India and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 868 OF 2016  
IN  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 859 OF 2016

Sanjiv Bimalkumar Jain .....Applicant

V/s.

The Union of India and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 869 OF 2016  
IN  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 858 OF 2016

Chandrashekhar Madanlal Sarda .....Applicant

V/s.

The Union of India and anr. ....Respondents

\* \* \* \* \*

Mr. Ishwariprasad Bagaria, Advocate for the applicant.

Smt. R.M. Gadhvi, APP for the respondent, State.

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 860 OF 2016

Rajesh Mohanlal Mistry .....Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra  
and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 861 OF 2016

Vipul Kaniyalal Karkaria .....Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra  
and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 899 OF 2016

Shailesh Swarupchand Mehta  
and anr. ....Applicants  
V/s.  
The State of Maharashtra and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 903 OF 2016

Suresh Bhramanand Jadjodia ....Applicant  
V/s.  
The State of Maharashtra ....Respondent

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 929 OF 2016

Kapil Puri ....Applicant  
V/s.  
The State of Maharashtra and anr. ....Respondent

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1043 OF 2016

Rane \* 4/8 \* Cri.Appln-862-2016  
2/12/2016

Dr. Padmanabhan Sekhar .....Applicant  
V/s.  
The State of Maharashtra and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1093 OF 2016

Narayan Jethanand Pagarani .....Applicant  
V/s.  
Directorate of Enforcement and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1259 OF 2016

Satyan Kesarkar .....Applicant  
V/s.  
State of Maharashtra and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1260 OF 2016

Nimish Bendre .....Applicant  
V/s.  
State of Maharashtra and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1287 OF 2016

Rajesh Dharap .....Applicant

Rane

\* 5/8 \* Cri.Appln-862-2016  
2/12/2016

V/s.  
State of Maharashtra and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1288 OF 2016

Deepak Shinde .....Applicant  
V/s.  
State of Maharashtra and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1289 OF 2016

Nilesh Shah .....Applicant  
V/s.  
State of Maharashtra and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1290 OF 2016

Sanjay Joshi .....Applicant  
V/s.  
State of Maharashtra and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1291 OF 2016

Tanveer Shaikh .....Applicant  
V/s.  
State of Maharashtra and anr. ....Respondents

ALONGWITH

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1314 OF 2016

|                               |                  |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Pankaj Bhujbal                | .....Applicant   |
| V/s.                          |                  |
| State of Maharashtra and anr. | .....Respondents |

ALONGWITH  
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 873 OF 2016  
IN  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1314 OF 2016

|                                   |                  |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| Anjali Damania                    | .....Applicant   |
| V/s.                              |                  |
| The State of Maharashtra and anr. | .....Respondents |

ALONGWITH  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1756 OF 2016

|                               |                  |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Krishna Chamankar and Ors.    | .....Applicants  |
| V/s.                          |                  |
| State of Maharashtra and anr. | .....Respondents |

\* \* \* \* \*

Mr. Hiten S. Venegaonkar a/w. Mr. D.P. Singh a/w. Ms. Purnima Kantharia, Advocate for the E.D., respondent in all matters.

Mr. Aabad Ponda, Ms. Neha Naik i/by. Phoenix Legal, Advocate for the applicant and Smt. R.M. Gadhvi, APP for State in ABA-899/2016, ABA-903/2016 and ABA-929-2016.

Mr. Shailesh Kumar, Advocate for the applicant and Smt. R.M. Gadhvi, APP for State in ABA-1043-2016.

Mr. D.J. Kakalia a/w. Mr. Paresh Patkar i/by. Mulla & Mulla & Craigie Blunt and Caroe, Advocate for the applicant in ABA-899/2016.

Mr. Vikram Chaudhary, Senior Advocate a/w. Mr. Sujay Kantawala a/w. Mr. Sajal Yadav a/w. Mr. Sudarshan Khawase (Naik) i/by. Mr. S.K. Krishnan, Advocate for the applicant in ABA-1314-2016 and Smt. N.S. Jain, APP for State in ABA-1314-2016.

Mr. Vikram Chaudhary, Senior Advocate with Mr. Sujay Kantawala and Mr. Sanjay Agarwal and with Miss. Neha Ahuja i/by. Mr. Yogesh Rohira, Advocate for the applicants and Smt. J.S. Lohokare, APP for State, in ABA- 1756-2016.

Mr. Ashwin Thool a/w. Ms. Reshma Mutha, Advocate for the applicant and Mr. N.B. Patil, APP for State, in ABA-860-2016 and ABA-861-2016.

Mr. A.M. Sachwani a/w. Mr. R.R. Shah and Mr. V.M. Adhriz, Advocate for the applicant and Ms. Sharmila Kaushik, APP for State in ABA-1093-2016.

Mr. Sajal Yadav a/w. Mr. Sudarshan Khawase (Naik) i/by. Mr. S.K. Krishnan, Advocate for the applicant and Ms. R.M. Gadhvi, APP for State in ABA-1259-2016, 1260-2016, 1287-2016 to 1291-2016.

**CORAM :- N.W. SAMBRE, J**

**DATED :- 29TH NOVEMBER, 2016.**

**P.C. :-**

1). The learned Counsel for the applicant, pursuant to an order dated 21<sup>st</sup> October, 2016 passed in Criminal Application No. 859 of 2016 in Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1304 of

2016 makes a prayer for similar relief as according to them the case of the applicants is similar.

2). In view of above statement which is not seriously disputed by the other side, relief as is provided in the order dated 21<sup>st</sup> October, 2016 can be extended to the applicants. In view thereof, in my opinion, it will be appropriate to pass the following order :-

3). The applicants shall prefer an application, **if not already preferred**, under Section 70 sub-section (2) read with Section 88 of the Criminal Procedure Code before the Special Court on 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

4). If such applications are filed, the Special Judge shall decide the same on the same day or any other day which is convenient to the said Court provided the delay is not attributable to the applicants. The Judge, if prefers to defer hearing on his own, he may continue the interim relief ordered herein.

5). With the above observations, the present applications are disposed off as withdrawn. The interim protection ordered herein shall continue till 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

6). In case if the Special Judge decides to pass an order adverse to the interest of the applicants, the same be not given effect to for a period of two weeks.

(N.W. SAMBRE, J)

**Note : The order is corrected pursuant to speaking to minutes order dated 2<sup>nd</sup> December, 2016.**