

mm

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 11670 OF 2016

Mrs. Jadhav Vaishali Vikas

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & ors.

...Respondents

Mr. N.V. Bhandiwadekar a/w. Mr. Sagar A. Mane

Advocates for the Petitioner

Mr. Vikas Mali, AGP for the State

CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &

M.S. KARNIK, JJ.

DATED : 7TH OCTOBER, 2016

PC. :

Matter is not on board. In view of the urgency taken on board and heard.

2. Parties through their Counsel. The grievance of the Petitioner is that though the Deputy Director of Education, Mumbai Region, Mumbai vide order dated 30th September, 2016 **Exhibit-I** has directed the Education Officer (Secondary) Respondent No.2 to reconsider the order dated 9th September, 2016 by which the Petitioner has been declared

surplus, but instead of reconsidering the matter as directed vide order dated 30th September 2016 the Respondent No.2 is bent upon to relieve the Petitioner.

3. Keeping in view the order dated 30th September, 2016 we find that since the Deputy Director of Education, Mumbai Region, Mumbai has already directed the Education Officer Respondent No.2 to reconsider the matter we dispose of this Petition by directing the Education Officer to take fresh decision in the matter taking into consideration the direction contained in the communication dated 30th September, 2016 Exhibit-I as expeditiously as possible preferably within 3 weeks from the receipt of the copy of this order. Till fresh decision is taken as aforesaid the Petitioner be allowed to continue at her present place of posting.

4. The Petition stands disposed of. Parties to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(M.S. KARNIK, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)