

Anand

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1045 OF 2014
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1046 OF 2014
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1047 OF 2014**

Birla Cotsyn (India) Pvt. Ltd. .Applicant

Vs.

M/s. Wazir Financial Services Pvt..Respondents
Ltd. & anr.

Ms Sonal More i/b. Vidhi Partners, Advocate, for
the Applicant

Mr.S.R.Murarka, Advocate, for the Respondent
No.1

Mr.V.V.Gangurde, APP, for the Respondent No.2 –
State

CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

DATE : 23.11.2016

P.C.

. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the
Respondent No.1 states that in identical matters
between the same parties, this Court vide order
dated 02.02.2015 was pleased to dismiss the

connected Applications i.e. Cri. Appln.No.1044 of 2014 and Cri. Appln.No.811 of 2014. He submits that the issues raised in the present Applications are identical with the issues raised in those Applications, which were dismissed by this Court (CORAM : M.L.TAHALIYANI, J.) vide order dated 02.02.2015. He further submits that SLPs were filed by the Applicant herein (Birla Cotsyn (India) Pvt. Ltd.) in the Apex Court and that the said SLPs have been dismissed by the Apex Court vide order dated 07.08.2015.

3. The aforesaid facts are not disputed by the learned counsel for the Applicant. She also does not dispute the fact, that the issues raised in the aforesaid Applications are identical to the issues raised in Cri. Appln.No.1044 of 2014 and Cri. Appln.No.811 of 2014 which were dismissed by this Court. She also does not dispute the fact, that SLPs

against the said order have also been dismissed by the Apex Court.

4. Perused the order dated 02.02.2015 passed by this Court. The issues raised in the aforesaid Applications are squarely covered by the order dated 02.02.2015 and hence, no interference is warranted in the orders impugned in the present Applications.

5. Accordingly, the Applications are dismissed.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)