

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.290 OF 2016
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.216 OF 2015
IN
M.J. PETITION NO.A-2400 OF 2011**

Ranjeet Nabha Applicant

versus

Udita Nabha ... Respondent

Mr. Kunal Vaishnav & Mr.Suraj Iyer i/b. Ganesh and Co.for the Applicant.

Ms. Sharon Patole for the Respondent.

**CORAM : A.S.OKA & A.M.BADAR, JJ.
DATE : 25th NOVEMBER, 2016.**

P.C. :

1. The learned counsel for the applicant pointed out that only the first part of the prayer clause (a) be considered.

2. The first part of the prayer clause (a) is for expediting the hearing of the Family Court. In this Court, Family Court appeals from the year 2008 onwards are pending for hearing. Therefore, no case is made out for giving out of turn priority to the appeal of the year 2015. Therefore, application is rejected.

(A.M. BADAR, J.)

(A.S. OKA, J.)