

Urmila Ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 11806 OF 2016

NZ Seasonal Wear Pvt.Ltd and anr. .. Petitioners
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Mr.Amarendra Mishra, for the Petitioners.
Ms.R.A. Salunkhe, AGP for State.
Mr.R.S.Khadapkar, for Respondent No.2.
Mr.Sumit Khaire i/b Mr.R.M. Haridas, for Respondent No.3.
Mr.Tushar Sonawane, for Respondent No.4.

**CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL &
M.S.KARNIK, JJ.**

19th DECEMBER, 2016

P.C. :

. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners participated in the tender. The petitioners claim that without any sound reason, petitioners's tender was not accepted. The tender ought to have been allotted in their favour.

2. Learned Counsel appearing for respondent No.4 submits that the tender has already allotted in their favour and

goods/material has distributed to the Corporation. The petitioners's contention is that goods supplied by the petitioners were returned by the Corporation to the petitioners.

3. Without expressing any opinion on merits of the matter, we direct that the petitioners shall file a comprehensive representation to the Commissioner of the Corporation. In case, such a comprehensive representation is received by the Corporation, we direct the Commissioner to look into the grievance of the petitioners. In case, the petitioners have suffered any damages, they may resort to appropriate alternate remedy as contemplated in law.

4. With aforesaid directions, Writ Petition is disposed of.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)