

Govardhan Baburao Patil and Another	...Petitioners
vs.	
The State of Maharashtra and Others	...Respondents

CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
MAKARAND KARNIK, JJ.

PC.:

. Apprehending the election of Respondent No. 5 prior to the election of Respondent No. 6 the Petitioners have filed this Petition.

2. On being notice, the Respondents-State has filed its reply affidavit. In para 4 of the reply affidavit, the Respondents-State has made the following statement.

"I say and submit that the Respondent No. 3 and 4 will conduct the elections of Administrator appointed 58 and term expiring 16 total 74, societies including Respondent No. 6 in due course and thereafter we will conduct the election of the Respondent No. 5 the progress of the on going elections of the Administrator appointed of Primary Agricultural Co-Operative Societies is annexed as Exhibit R-1.

3. The learned counsel for the Petitioners submit that in view of the stand taken by the Respondents-State that they will conduct the election of Respondent No. 5 after the election of Respondent No. 6, the purpose of filing of this Petition is served.

4. Recording the stand taken by the Respondents-State in their reply affidavit, the Petition stands disposed of.

(MAKARAND KARNIK, J.)

(SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.)