

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.424 OF 2015
IN
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.721 OF 2014

1. The State of Maharashtra,
2. The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai.
3. The Joint Commissioner of Police, Mumbai.
4. The Additional Commissioner of Police, Mumbai.
5. The Senior Inspector of Police, EOW, Mumbai.
6. The Senior Inspector of Police, Bandra.
7. CBI, EOW, Mumbai.
8. Chhagan Chandrakant Bhujbal, Mumbai,
9. Pankaj Chhagan Bhujbal, Mumbai,
10. Sameer Magan Bhujbal, Mumbai,
11. Mrs.Meena Chhagan Bhujbal, Mumbai,
12. Vishakha Bhujbal, Mumbai,
13. Shefali Bhujbal, Mumbai.

Applicants

versus

Sunil Gangadhar Karve

Respondent

Mr.K.V.Saste, APP, for Applicants-State.

Mr.Rizwan Merchant with Mr.Ranjeet M. Pawar, Mr.Suraj P. Nangare
for Petitioner.

Mr.J.R.Solanki for CBI.

Mr.R.B.Mokashi, Karan Vyas, S.K.Krishnan i/by Sajal Yadav for
Applicant nos.8 to 13.

CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL AND
PRAKASH D. NAIK, JJ.

DATE : 2nd August 2016

PC :

1. Heard. Learned APP appearing for the Applicants-State
submits that delay has occurred in filing affidavit-in-reply. By an

order dated 14 August 2015, Applicant nos.1 to 6 herein were directed to file reply affidavits within four weeks. Affidavit-in-reply has been filed today.

2. We find that present application was filed by State on 22 September 2015 seeking extension of four weeks to comply with the order dated 14 August 2015. The explanation offered for delay is not convincing. We, however, take on record affidavit-in-reply, subject to payment of costs of Rs.2,000/- within two weeks from today.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)

(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)

MST