

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2988 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1918 OF 2014

Office Notes, Office
Memoranda of appearances,
Court's orders or directions &
Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Ms.Samina Mirza i/b. Rahul Tahkur for applicant in CA.
Madan Singh Khati for the petitioner.
N.R.Bubna for respondent No.1.
Mrs.M.P.Thakur, AGP for respondent No.2.
Ms.Kiran Bagalia for respondent No.3.

CORAM : A.S. OKA AND C.V.BHADANG, JJ
DATED : 14th January 2016.

P.C.:

Heard learned counsel for the applicant. The application is opposed by the learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner. We find that in the writ petition a relief has been claimed in respect of the structures on the land claimed by the applicant. Moreover, the prayer of the applicant for regularization is being considered by the third respondent- MMRDA as disclosed in the affidavit filed today. Therefore, the applicant is a proper party to the writ petition. Accordingly, application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

(C.V. BHADANG, J)

(A.S.OKA, J)