

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 9545 OF 2015**

Shri Subhash Babulal Mutha

....Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra and ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Sanjay D. Thokade, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P. G. Sawant, AGP for the State.

Mr. Vishwanath Patil, advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 6.

Mr. S. S. Patwardhan, advocate for respondent Nos. 10(i) and 10(ii).

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 18th AUGUST, 2016.

P. C. :

The petitioner took objection to construction permission/commencement certificate issued in favour of respondent No.10. The gist of the objections is formulated by the Corporation in the notice dated 5th January, 2015, which is annexed at page 162. Respondent No.10 has also filed a reply on 22nd January, 2015.

2. The sole grievance of the petitioner seems to be that though reply is filed by respondent No.10 way back in the month of January, 2015 and thereafter hearing took place, the respondent-Corporation has not taken any decision so far.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the Corporation, having taken instructions, makes a statement that the petitioner's objections would

be decided by passing speaking order within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of this order after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned. The statement is accepted.

4. In the light of the statement, the grievance of the petitioner no more survives. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

5. It is expressly made clear that we have not gone into the merits of the matter and all rights and contentions of the respective parties are kept open.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

[RANJIT MORE, J.]