

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.3229 OF 2016

Salim Rahim Shaikh

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

... Respondent

Ms.Rohini Dandekar, Advocate appointed for the Petitioner
Mr.H.J. Dedia, APP, for Respondent - State

CORAM: **SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI &
MRS.MRIDULA BHATKAR, JJ.**

DATE: **OCTOBER 10, 2016**

ORAL JUDGMENT (PER SMT.V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.):

1. Heard both sides.
2. Rule. By consent, Rule is made returnable forthwith.
3. The petitioner had preferred an application for parole on 30.4.2016. The grievance of the petitioner is that his application was not decided.
4. The learned APP, on instructions, states that the application was decided and it was rejected on 14.9.2016. It is seen that against the order of rejection, the petitioner has remedy of appeal. The petitioner has not preferred an appeal. In our opinion, the petitioner should be relegated to the remedy of preferring an appeal.

5. In this view of the matter, we are not inclined to interfere and hence, rule is discharged.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)

(V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.)