

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.1061 OF 2009
ALONG WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.824 OF 2016**

**Datta Prasad Narayan Kulkarni : Petitioner/Applicant
versus
M/s. Auctel Products Ltd. and anr. : Respondents.**

**ALONG WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.947 OF 2009**

**Rajan Vishwanath Helekar : Petitioner.
versus
M/s.Auctel Products Ltd. and anr. : Respondents.**

**Mr. Sandesh Shukla a/w Mr. Santosh Sawant i/by Abhay Nevagi &
Associates for the Petitioners in Writ Petition Nos.1061 of 2009 and 947 of
2009 and for the Applicant in Civil Application No.824 of 2016.**

**CORAM : R. M. SAVANT, J.
DATE : 29th August 2016**

P.C.

1 The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioners in the above Petitions states that the complaints in question have been partly allowed in favour of the Petitioners above-named who are the complainants in the Complaints in question. In view therefore, the above Petitions challenging an interlocutory order passed in the said complaints have turned infructuous. In view of the said statement, the above Petitions as well as Civil Application No.824 of 2016 are disposed of as having turned infructuous. Needless to state that the Petitioners would be at liberty to challenge the final orders passed in

(5) wp-1061.09-&-947.09-aw-caw-824.16

the said complaints. Writ Petition No.1090 of 2009 is de-tagged from the above Petitions.

[R.M.SAVANT, J]