

Sequeira

*IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION*

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 701 OF 2008

1. P. A. Patel
2. Y. A. Patel
(since deceased through his legal heirs)
having their office at Crescent chambers,
3rd floor, Tamarind Lane,
Fort, Mumbai 400 023.
- 2(a). Mihir Y. Patel,
Age – 49 years, R/o
2, Maninagar, CHS, Char Rasta,
Mani Nagar, Ahmadabad – 8.

.. Applicants

Versus.

1. The Estate Officer
Life Insurance Corporation of India
The Public Premises (Eviction of
Unauthorized Occupants) Act, 1971
Western Zonal Office, Yogakshema
East Wing, Jeevan Bima Marg,
Mumbai – 400021.
2. The Life Insurance Corporation of India
A Corporation established under the
Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956,
Having its Western Zonal Office
at Yogakshem, East Wing, Jeevan
Bima Marg, Mumbai – 400021.
3. Zonal Manager, LIC of India
Western Zone, Yogakshema

Mumbai 400 021.

4. M/s Dayabhai Nandlal and company.
5. M/s. Popatlal Jaisingh
6. Vinod Patel
The present address of the Respondent
Nos.4 to 6 not known. .. Respondents

Mr.Vishwajeet Sawant a/w Prabhakar Sawant, for Applicants.
Mr.Bharat Mehta, for Respondent Nos.1 to 3.

***CORAM : N.M.Jamdar, J.
Saturday, 01 October 2016.***

Oral Judgment :

The Civil Revision Application is filed against the order passed by the learned Principal Judge, City Civil Court, Bombay wherein order passed by the Estate Officer dated 31 March 2008 directing the Applicants to pay the amount of ₹ 37,57,352 with further interest at the rate of 9 per cent was challenged. The damages are based on the orders passed by the Estate Officer which was challenged in Civil Revision Application No.347 of 2007. This Revision Application has been disposed of by quashing and setting aside the orders passed by the Estate Officer and the Principal Judge, City Civil Court at Bombay, by separate Judgment and Order passed

today. The learned counsel for the parties state that in view of the disposal of the Civil Revision Application No.347 of 2007, as sequator the impugned order challenged this Revision Application does not survive. Accordingly the Revision Application allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). No order as to costs.

(N.M.Jamdar, J.)