

Urmila Ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 10890 OF 2016

Shri Pawar Gangadhar Nana and ors. .. Petitioners
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra and anr. .. Respondents

Mr.Sagar Mane i/b Mr.N.V.Bandiwadekar, for the Petitioners.
Mr.Vikas Mali, AGP for Respondents – State.

**CORAM : SHANTANU.S.KEMKAR &
M.S.KARNIK, JJ.
DATE : 22nd SEPTEMBER, 2016**

P.C. :

- . Not on board. Upon mentioning taken on board.
2. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the
Petitioner and the learned AGP for the State, we are of the
opinion that this petition can be conveniently disposed of by
directing the Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad,
Nashik to decide the representations/proposals dated
04/08/2016 filed by petitioner No.3 and 16/08/2016 filed by
petitioner No.2 (Copy of which is annexed at Exhibits 'C' & 'D' to
the petition), if not already decided, as expeditiously as possible,

and within the period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the order.

3. Till the Petitioner's claim is decided, the Respondent-State is directed to maintain the status-quo as it exists today in respect of the transfer of Petitioner No. 1 from unaided division to aided division.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(SHANTANU.S.KEMKAR, J.)