

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 10487 OF 2016

Shivraj S. Bolaj. ..Petitioner.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Others. ..Respondents.

Mr. P. S. Dani, Senior advocate with Mr. Vijay Killedar for the Petitioner.
Mrs. M. P. Thakur AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.
Mr. N. V. Walawalkar, Senior Advocate with Mr. G. H. Keluskar for
Respondent Nos.3 and 4.
Mr. A. R. Kapadnis for Respondent No.6.
Mr. S. S. Patwardhan for Respondent No.5.

Coram : RANJIT MORE &
Smt. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.
Date : **September 8, 2016.**

P. C. :

1. Mr. Patwardhan, learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No.5 at the outset disputes the contents of the letter dated 1st September 2016 issued by the Petitioner to the Commissioner, Sangli-Miraj-Kupwad Municipal Corporation [Exhibit-G to the petition].
2. Nonetheless, Mr. Walawalkar, learned Senior Counsel appearing for Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 as well as Mr. S. S. Patwardhan, learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No.5 and Mr. Kapadnis, learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No.6, having taken instructions from their respective clients, state that they do not have objection to allow the petition in terms of prayer clause (b).
3. In the light of above, petition is allowed in terms of prayer clause (b).

[SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]