

VPH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION No. 8926 OF 2014

Ragini Vijay Medhe ... Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Laxman S. Deshmukh, for the Petitioner.

Mr. C. P. Yadav, AGP, for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

CORAM : V. M. KANADE, &
M. S. SONAK, JJ.

DATE : JUNE 28, 2016

PC.

. The learned counsel appearing for the Respondent, on instructions of Mr. Shashirao Walkikar, Chief Administrative Officer who is present in the Court, submits that the Respondents have decided to take a sympathetic view of the entire matter and would like to appoint the Petitioner on a vacant post within one month. He, however, submits that since this decision has been taken on humanitarian grounds, it may not be treated as a precedent in other similar matters. This submission is accepted. Copy of the

communication of the Respondents to the learned AGP dated 24.6.2016 is taken on record.

2. In view of the statement made on behalf of the Respondents, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner does not press this petition. Writ petition is accordingly disposed of as “not pressed”. However, liberty is granted to the Petitioner, if the Respondents do not appoint the Petitioner within one month.

Sd/-
[M. S. SONAK, J.]

Sd/-
[V. M. KANADE, J.]

Vinayak Halemath