

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO.195 OF 2014

Beena Vikas Ruparel	.. Applicant
-Versus-	
Vikas Manoj Ruparel	..Respondent

Mr. Harshad A. Sathe for applicant
Mr. Dushyant Purekar for the respondent.

CORAM : G.S.KULKARNI, J.

DATE : 4th May 2016.

P.C.

1] Heard learned Counsel for the parties. This application has been filed by the applicant wife seeking transfer of petition No.A-2000 of 2013 pending before Family Court, Bandra to the Family Court, Nashik.

2] Learned Counsel for the parties submit that the parties have arrived at a settlement and, therefore, this miscellaneous civil application does not require any adjudication.

3] Learned Counsel for the parties jointly have tendered consent terms entered between applicant and respondent. The applicant and the respondent are also present in the court and are identified as such by

their learned Advocates. They confirm to have executed these consent terms and as set out in the consent terms. The parties have decided to resolve the matrimonial dispute arising between them by approaching the Family Court at Pune and seek a decree of divorce by mutual consent. The consent terms as tendered by the learned Counsel for the parties are taken on record and marked "X" for identification. The undertakings, if any, stand accepted.

4] Misc. Civil application is accordingly disposed of in view of the consent terms. No costs.

5] Learned Counsel for the parties have mutually agree to delete clause (14) as the same may not be relevant. It is accordingly permitted to be deleted.

(G.S.KULKARNI, J)