

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 11682 OF 2016**

Shri Raj Nandkishore Agrawal	Petitioner
versus	
The State of Maharashtra and ors.	...Respondents

Mr. Surel S. Shah, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. P. G. Kathane, AGP for the State.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 14th OCTOBER, 2016.

P. C. :

Mr. Shah, learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, restricts this petition to the relief claimed under prayer clause (b). By this prayer, the petitioner has sought direction to respondent No.1 to decide his representation dated 4th January, 2016, a copy of which is annexed at "Exhibit A". Learned AGP submits that appropriate orders may be passed.

2. In the above circumstances, we deem it convenient to dispose of this petition by directing the Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Maharashtra, Mantralaya, Mumbai, to decide the petitioner's representation dated 4th January, 2016, a copy of which is annexed at "Exhibit A", as expeditiously as possible and preferably within twelve weeks from the date of receipt of this order. Ordered accordingly.

3. It is made clear that we have not gone into the merits of the matter and the Principal Secretary shall decide the said representation independently on its own merits. All rights and contentions of the respective parties are kept expressly open.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

[RANJIT MORE, J.]