

hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.10258 OF 2016

Shri. Gopinath Mahadeo Vedak Pratisthan ... Petitioner

Versus

University of Mumbai and anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Sandesh Patil i/by Triyama Legal for the petitioner.

Mr. P.M. Palshikar for respondent no. 1.

Mr. Vikas Mali, AGP for the respondent State.

**CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
MAKARAND KARNIK, JJ.
DATED : OCTOBER 06, 2016.**

P.C.

Parties through their counsel.

2. Today when the matter came up for hearing, the only relief claimed by the petitioner is to to direct respondent no. 2 to consider and decide the petitioner's application dated 22.12.2015 for grant of approval to the proposal of the petitioner. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would submit a detailed fresh representation with supporting documents before respondent no.2 within three days from today. On receipt of the same, second respondent State of Maharashtra shall consider the

same and decide the same as expeditiously as possible and preferably within ten days from the date of receipt of copy of this order along with fresh representation. In case the favourable order is passed by the second respondent, the first respondent University of Mumbai to take necessary appropriate steps immediately.

3. Petition stands disposed of.

4. Parties to act on authenticated copy of this order..

(MAKARAND KARNIK, J.)

(SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.)