

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 9102 OF 2015

Sandip L. Patil

.. Petitioner

vs.

Sou. Kavita S. Patil

.. Respondent

None for both the parties.

CORAM : M. S. SONAK, J.

DATE : 30 JUNE 2016.

P.C. :-

1] None for the petitioner.

2] I have perused the impugned order dated 30 July 2015, which has awarded *pendente lite* maintenance of Rs.10,000/- to the respondent wife and the two minor children.

3] Upon perusal of the grounds raised in the petition, in my judgment, none of the grounds are sufficient to warrant interference with the impugned order, which has awarded *pendente lite* maintenance. Even if, income from agricultural property is ignored, there is unimpeachable evidence on record that the petitioner is

earning an amount of Rs.20,369/-. There is no material on record to establish that the respondent-wife has any independent source of income. In fact, it is admitted that her father is no longer living. The twins, who are hardly five years of age are required to be admitted in school and there is considerable expenditure involved in this regard. Besides, the determination is only *pendente lite*.

4] Accordingly, no case is made out to interfere with the impugned order. This petition is therefore, dismissed. There shall however, be no order as to costs.

5] Since, neither the petitioner nor his advocate are present in the Court, the Registry is requested to forward a copy of this order to the Principal Judge, Family Court at Nashik taking up petition vide Petition No. A- 363 of 2014, within a period of one week from today.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

dinesh