

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1041 of 2016

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.104 OF 2016

Vijay Janardhan Zore

.. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

.. Respondent

Mr.Santosh H. Chari, Advocate for the applicant.

Ms.A.A.Takalkar, APP for the Respondent State.

CORAM : PN. DESHMUKH, J

DATED : 30th SEPTEMBER 2016

PC. :

1 Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned APP

2 By this application, applicant who came to be convicted for the offence punishable u/s.304-II of the IPC though was tried for offence punishable u/s.302 IPC Has prayed for allowing him to furnish two sureties of Rs.15,000/- each instead of one surety to the extend of above amount.

3 Record reveals that this court vide order dated 2nd March 2016 suspended substantive sentence imposed upon applicant and was directed to be released on bail already furnished by him, but on furnishing fresh bail bonds.

4 Learned counsel for the applicant submits that surety produced by applicant, however, was not accepted after verification. Applicant thus, prays that he be allowed to furnish two sureties to make up amount of bail of Rs.30,000/-.

5 Considering the fact that applicant is already found to be entitled for his release on bail on suspending substantive sentence imposed upon him.

6 Application is allowed.

7 Applicant is allowed to furnish one or two sureties to make up the bail amount of Rs.30,000/-.

8 Parties to act on a copy of this order duly authenticated by the Sheristedar of this Court.

(PN.DESHMUKH, J)