

hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****WRIT PETITION NO. 3280 OF 2014****Rakesh Brijlal Jain and anr. ... Petitioners****Versus****The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents**

Mr. Sandeep Pasbola with Mr. Bhavesh Thakur and Racheeta Dhuru i/by Mr.Rahul Arote for the petitioners.

Mr. K.V. Saste, APP for the State.

Mr. K.S. Patil for respondent no. 2.

Mr. Sandeep K. Sinde, P.P. with Mr.Y.M. Nakhwa for respondent no. 3.

**CORAM: NARESH H. PATIL &
A.M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATED : MARCH 22, 2016.

P.C.

During the course of the hearing, it transpired that learned Special Judge had already passed an order below Exh.1 for issuance of process for the offence punishable under sections 3 and 4 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002. The said order is not challenged by the petitioners. Petitioners have also not filed copy of the

complaint on record. As against the order of issuance of process, petitioners may resort to appropriate remedies as permissible in law. In case, the issue of delay in filing the petition for challenging the order of issuance of process is raised, pendency of this petition in this Court shall be considered as a ground for deciding the issue of delay. Petition stands disposed of.

(A.M. BADAR, J.)

(NARESH H. PATIL,J.)