

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 9169 OF 2015**

Karnataka Lingayat Education Society  
Belgaum (State of Karnataka) & Ors.

.. Petitioners

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

.. Respondents

.....  
Mr. Umesh R. Mankapure, Advocate for the Petitioners.  
.....

**CORAM : A.S. OKA AND P.D. NAIK, JJ.**

**DATED : APRIL 13, 2016.**

**P.C.**

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners. None appears for the respondents. A very limited grievance is made in this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The first petitioner is running the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner's school. It is pointed out that on 27<sup>th</sup> December, 2012, a proposal for grant of approval to the appointment of the 3<sup>rd</sup> petitioner as a "Shikshan Sevak" was submitted by the headmaster of the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent. It appears that on the very day, another proposal was submitted by the headmaster of the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner for grant of approval to the

appointment of 4<sup>th</sup> petitioner as “Shikshan Sevak”. On 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2013 the headmaster of the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner submitted a proposal to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent for grant of approval to the appointment of the 5<sup>th</sup> petitioner as “Shikshan Sevak”.

2           The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners on instructions states that as of today, to the knowledge of the petitioners, the said proposals have not been decided. We accept the said statement. In view of the statement, we pass the following order:

**:: ORDER ::**

- (i) We direct the representatives of the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioners to appear before the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent The Education Officer [Secondary], Zilla Parishad, Solapur on 29<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 at 11.00 a.m. The 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioners shall produce copies of the aforesaid three proposals along with the copies of the accompanying documents before the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent on that day. An authenticated copy of this order shall be also produced alongwith copies of the aforesaid documents;

- (ii) If the said proposals have been already disposed of, the copies of the orders passed on the proposals be forthwith supplied to the representatives of the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioners. If the proposals are pending, the Education Officer shall dispose of the same by 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2016 and communicate the orders passed on the proposals to the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioners within a period of one week from 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2015;
- (iii) We make it clear that we have not examined the merits of the pending proposals;
- (iv) The 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent shall act upon an authenticated copy of this order;
- (v) The petition is disposed of on the above terms.

**(P.D. NAIK, J.)**

**(A.S. OKA, J.)**